Meeting for the PhD council the 13th December 2013

Present at meeting

Stina Cornell-Kärnekull  Anders Sand  Marianne Jacobsson  Lena Låstad
Malin Mattson  Henrik Nordström  Nichel Gonzalez

1. Opening of meeting
   Stina Cornell Kärnekull is the president of the meeting.

2. Election of secretary and certifier
   Anders Sand is elected as secretary and Henrik Nordström as certifier

3. Approval of minutes from previous meeting

4. Approval of agenda for the current meeting
   Approved

5. Discussion: New admission system for the PhD program

   a) Report to the work group that there should be PhD student representative
   b) Clarify when/if judgments are done on project basis and when it is done an individual basis
   c) Project vs Open position
   d) Point (1) in proposed changes – “upper score considerations”
      a. Creativity or “ovanligt kunskapsfyllande” should be a new criteria e) not an “upper score consideration”
      b. Instead make it more explicit in the instructions for “judgers” that a 6 or 7 only should be given if (a) already is excellent (this is stated in the “sjugradig skala med halvsteg”)
      c. Why even propose “upper score consideration”
   e) Point (2) “skriftlig produktion” – “Work of excellence” – should not have to be a examination thesis but could very well be a paper or other report
      a. New criteria d) which is independence – arbetsbeskrivning – this is where implicitly examination thesis have an edge
      b. Perhaps date of publications should be included
   f) Andra meriter C) how should it be stated
      a. Clearly specify what is judged in work life experience. It should be:
relevance for research education and “drive” in work life experience.

b. We also discussed about how, if any, actual pdfs should be sent in

g) Point (3) two-step process
   a. Great idea – the interview should be focused on method and analysis
      in relations to the research plan (not theme-specific)
   b. More interviewers would be nice

h) Point (4) weighting
   a. 1-1-1 weighting because of project-based applications
   b. In step 2, give weight to forskningsplanen

i) DR think the open position is important to protect

6. Reports
   a. Department Board (Institutionstyrelsen): Anders
   b. Professors’ group: Stina
   c. Social Science Faculty Council (Samhällsvetenskapliga fakultetsrådet):
      Marianne
      i. Nästa SAMFACK
   d. Equal Rights Committee: Malin
   e. Work environment Committee: Henrik
   f. Institute for Applied Behavioral Science (ITB): Lena
      No meeting but next week

7. Information: Election for Phd council 24th of January. Stina and Anders will send out an
e-mail about nomination (for a specific position or in general) of yourself or other.

8. Other issues
   Jesper: Klinisk komplettering för doktorander I psykologi
   Kan IS besluta att utvärdera möjligheten till klinisk kompletteringsutbildning

9. Closing of the meeting

Minutes are written the 13 December 2013 by Anders Sand. Certified by Henrik Nordström

Secretary                        Certifier
Anders Sand                     Henrik Nordström